



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/095,323 06/10/98 LAUFER M 031201-009 **EXAMINER** QM12/0426 SANJAY S. BAGADE SHAY, D MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 755 PAGE MILL ROAD PALO ALTO, CA 94304-1018 3739

DATE MAILED: 04/26/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	09/095,323			
	Examiner d. 5 hay	Group Art	Unit	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	neath the corresponder	nce address—	
Period for Reply	i		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO 1 OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	EXPIRE	MONTH(S) FROM THE	E MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, ex Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, 	within the statutory minimupire SIX (6) MONTHS from	ım of thirty (30) days will be co the mailing date of this comm	onsidered timely. Junication .	
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	21989			
☐ This action is FINAL.	7 . 1			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 €			is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s) 1 - 4 9		is/are pending in the application.		
Of the above claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are allowed.		
□ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————				
□ Claim(s)i		is/are objected to.	is/are objected to.	
Claim(s) 47	M-1	are subject to restrict a requirement.	iction or election	
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F	•			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		disapproved.		
 ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 	to by the Examiner.			
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority unde	or 35 ILS C. & 11 9/a)-/	4)		
 □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) 	priority documents ha	ve been		
☐ received in this national stage application from the International	ational Bureau (PCT R	ule 1 7.2(a)).		
*Certified copies not received:		•		
Attachment(s)		·		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗀 In	terview Summary, PTO-4	13	
☐ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152			
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	0	ther		
Office Action Summary				

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ______

Application/Control Number: 09/095,323

Art Unit: 3739

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-27 are, drawn to apparatus for treating body conduits, classified in class606, subclass 7.
- II. Claims 28-32 are, drawn to a method of treating asthma, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- III. Claims 33-37, drawn to a method of treating resparatory conditions, classified in class 607, subclass 88.
- IV. Claims 38-42, drawn to a method of treating an esophagus, classified in class606, subclass 2.
- V.. Claims 43-47, drawn to a method of treating a urethra, classified in class 606, subclass 16.
- VI. Claims 48-49, drawn to a method of training a person to treat a body conduit, classified in class 434, subclass 262.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions II and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus would be used to ablate cataracks

Application/Control Number: 09/095,323

Art Unit: 3739

Inventions III and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be albate cataracts.

Inventions IV and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used ablate catararts.

Inventions V and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could be used to ablate cartaracts.

Inventions VI and I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice

Application/Control Number: 09/095,323

Art Unit: 3739

another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus could

be used to ablate cataracte.

Inventions II, III, IV, V and VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown

that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation,

different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the

different inventions have different effects.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an

election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37

CFR 1.143).

A telephone call was made to Sanjay Bagade on March 31, 2000 to request an oral

election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at

telephone number (703) 308-2215.

David Shay:bhw

April 10, 2000

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 4

GROUP 330